

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                           | FILING DATE    | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/702,085                                | 11/06/2003     | Jurgen Schulz-Harder | A-8800              | 8224             |
| 7:  | 590 05/31/2006 | EXAMINER .           |                     |                  |
| HOFFMAN, WASSON & GITLER, P.C.            |                |                      | LEO, LEONARD R      |                  |
| Suite 522<br>2361 Jefferson Davis Highway |                |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
| Arlington, VA 22202                       |                |                      | 3753                |                  |

DATE MAILED: 05/31/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  | Application No.  | Applicant(s)  |  |  |
|--|--|---|--|--|
|  | 10/702,085   | SCHULZ-HARDER, JURGEN   |  |  |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner   | Art Unit  |  |  |
|  | Leonard R. Leo   | 3753  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app<br>Period for Reply   | ears on the cover sheet with the c   | orrespondence address   |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).   | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION<br>36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time<br>vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from<br>cause the application to become ABANDONEI | the mailing date of this communication.  D (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |
| Status   |  |   |  |  |
| Responsive to communication(s) filed on      This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This      Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E  | action is non-final.<br>nce except for formal matters, pro   |   |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  |  |   |  |  |
| <ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-34 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) 1-34 are subject to restriction and/or expressions.</li> </ul>   | vn from consideration.   |   |  |  |
| Application Papers   |  |   |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the correction access and the correction access are considered to by the Examiner access and the correction access and the cor | epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj  | e 37 CFR 1.85(a).<br>ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).           |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |  |   |  |  |
| 12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)  All b)  Some * c)  None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.   |  |   |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:   |   |  |  |

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-23, drawn to a heat pipe, classified in class 165, subclass 104.26.
- II. Claims 24-34, drawn to a method of manufacturing a heat pipe, classified in class29, subclass 890.032.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process such as spray coating a porous or capillary structure onto separate unattached walls and subsequently joining the walls together.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification and their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and

Application/Control Number: 10/702,085 Page 3

Art Unit: 3753

specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leonard R. Leo whose telephone number is (571) 272-4916. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eric Keasel can be reached on (571) 272-4929. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LEONARD R. LEO
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 3753